

# Soft Fixed-Point Theorems for Integral Type Mappings through Rational Expression

Ramakant Bhardwaj

Department of Mathematics, APS University Rewa MP

**Abstract -** In the present research paper, some soft fixed-point theorems are established for integral type mappings for rational expressions. These results are proved by the help of basic concepts of fixed-point theory. To obtain the results altering distance functions are used. Obtained results are generalized form of well-known results in complete metric spaces.

## I. INTRODUCTION & PRELIMINARIES

A new category of contractive fixed point problem was introduced by M. S. Khan, M. Swalech and S. Sessa [10]. In this work, they introduced the concept of altering distance function which is a control function that alters distance between two points in a metric space.

In the year 1999, Molodtsov [14] initiated a novel concept of soft sets theory as a new mathematical tool for dealing with uncertainties. Detail about soft sets, soft points, soft fixed point theorems can be seen in [4-8, 11-13]. The present work dealt with some soft fixed point results for rational expressions using altering distance function for soft metric space, which is motivated by Molodtsov [14], Khan M.S. et.al [10] and Binciri [3].

**Definition 1A:** Let  $X$  be an initial universe set and  $E$  be a set of parameters. A pair  $(F, E)$  is called a soft set over  $X$  if and only if  $F$  is a mapping from  $E$  into the set of all subsets of the set  $X$ , i.e.  $F: E \rightarrow P(X)$ , where  $P(X)$  is the power set of  $X$ .

**Definition 1B:** Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be the set of real numbers and  $B(\mathfrak{R})$  be the collection of all nonempty bounded subsets of  $\mathfrak{R}$  and  $E$  taken as a set of parameters. Then a mapping  $F: E \rightarrow B(\mathfrak{R})$  is called a soft real set. It is denoted by  $(F, E)$ . If specifically  $(F, E)$  is a singleton soft set, then identifying  $(F, E)$  with the corresponding soft element, it will be called a soft real number and denoted  $\tilde{r}, \tilde{s}, \tilde{t}$  etc.

$\bar{0}, \bar{1}$  are the soft real numbers where  $\bar{0}(e) = 0, \bar{1}(e) = 1$  for all  $e \in E$ , respectively.

**Definition 1C:** A soft set over  $X$  is said to be a soft point if there is exactly one  $e \in E$ , such that  $P(e) = \{x\}$  for some  $x \in X$  and  $P(e') = \emptyset, \forall e' \in E \setminus \{e\}$ . It will be denoted by  $\tilde{x}_e$ .

**Definition 1D:** Two soft points  $\tilde{x}_e, \tilde{y}_e$  are said to be equal if  $e = e'$  and  $P(e) = P(e')$  i.e.  $x = y$ . Thus  $\tilde{x}_e \neq \tilde{y}_e \Leftrightarrow x \neq y$  or  $e \neq e'$ .

**Definition 1E:** A mapping  $\tilde{d}: SP(\tilde{X}) \times SP(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}(E)^*$ , is said to be a soft metric on the soft set  $\tilde{X}$  if  $\tilde{d}$  satisfies the following conditions:

$$(M1) \quad \tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_{e_1}, \tilde{y}_{e_2}) \geq \bar{0} \text{ for all } \tilde{x}_{e_1}, \tilde{y}_{e_2} \in \tilde{X},$$

$$(M2) \quad \tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_{e_1}, \tilde{y}_{e_2}) = \bar{0} \text{ if and only if } \tilde{x}_{e_1} = \tilde{y}_{e_2},$$

$$(M3) \quad \tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_{e_1}, \tilde{y}_{e_2}) = \tilde{d}(\tilde{y}_{e_2}, \tilde{x}_{e_1}) \quad \text{for all } \tilde{x}_{e_1}, \tilde{y}_{e_2} \in \tilde{X},$$

$$(M4) \quad \tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_{e_1}, \tilde{z}_{e_3}) \leq \tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_{e_1}, \tilde{y}_{e_2}) + \tilde{d}(\tilde{y}_{e_2}, \tilde{z}_{e_3})$$

for all  $\tilde{x}_{e_1}, \tilde{y}_{e_2}, \tilde{z}_{e_3} \in \tilde{X}$ .

The soft set  $\tilde{X}$  with a soft metric  $\tilde{d}$  on  $\tilde{X}$  is called a soft metric space and denoted by  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{d}, E)$ .

**Definition 1F (Soft Complete Metric Space):** A soft metric space  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{d}, E)$  is called complete, if every Cauchy Sequence in  $\tilde{X}$  converges to some point of  $\tilde{X}$ .

**Definition 1G:** Let  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{d}, E)$  be a soft metric space. A function  $(f, \varphi): (\tilde{X}, \tilde{d}, E) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, \tilde{d}, E)$  is called a soft contractive mapping if there exist a soft real number  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq \alpha < 1$  such that for every point  $\tilde{x}_\lambda, \tilde{y}_\mu \in SP(X)$  we have

$$\tilde{d}((f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_\lambda), (f, \varphi)(\tilde{y}_\mu)) \leq \alpha \tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_\lambda, \tilde{y}_\mu)$$

**Definition 1H[9]:** The function  $\psi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called an altering distance function if the following properties are satisfied:

$$(i) \quad \psi \text{ is continuous and non-decreasing,}$$

$$(ii) \quad \psi(t) = 0 \text{ if and only if } t = 0.$$

## II. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 2.1:** Let  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{d}, E)$  be a soft complete metric space. Suppose the soft mapping  $(f, \varphi): (\tilde{X}, \tilde{d}, E) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, \tilde{d}, E)$  satisfies the soft contractive condition:

$$\psi [\tilde{d}((f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_\lambda), (f, \varphi)(\tilde{y}_\mu))] \leq \psi[\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\tilde{x}_\lambda, \tilde{y}_\mu)] - \varphi[\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\tilde{x}_\lambda, \tilde{y}_\mu)] \quad (2.1.1)$$

For each  $\tilde{x}_\lambda, \tilde{y}_\mu \in \tilde{X}, \tilde{x}_\lambda \neq \tilde{y}_\mu$ , where  $\psi, \varphi$  are altering distance functions, and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\tilde{x}_\lambda, \tilde{y}_\mu) = \alpha \int_0^{\left( \frac{\tilde{d}^3(\tilde{x}_\lambda, (f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_\lambda)) + \tilde{d}^3(\tilde{y}_\mu, (f, \varphi)(\tilde{y}_\mu))}{1 + \tilde{d}^2(\tilde{x}_\lambda, (f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_\lambda)) + \tilde{d}^2(\tilde{y}_\mu, (f, \varphi)(\tilde{y}_\mu))} \right)} \xi(t) dt + \gamma \int_0^{\tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_\lambda, \tilde{y}_\mu)} \xi(t) dt$$

Where  $\alpha, \gamma > 0$  and  $2\alpha + \gamma < 1$  is a soft constant. Then  $(f, \varphi)$  has a unique soft fixed point in  $\tilde{X}$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\tilde{x}_\lambda^0$  be any soft point in  $SP(X)$ .

$$\text{Set } \tilde{x}_{\lambda 1}^1 = (f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_\lambda^0) = (f(\tilde{x}_\lambda^0))_{\varphi(\lambda)}$$

$$\tilde{x}_{\lambda n+1}^{n+1} = (f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_{\lambda n}^n) = (f^{n+1}(\tilde{x}_\lambda^0))_{\varphi^{n+1}(\lambda)}, \dots$$

Now consider,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda n-1}^{n-1}, \tilde{x}_{\lambda n}^n) &= \alpha \int_0^{\left( \frac{\tilde{d}^3(\tilde{x}_{\lambda n-1}^{n-1}, (f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_{\lambda n-1}^{n-1})) + \tilde{d}^3(\tilde{x}_{\lambda n}^n, (f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_{\lambda n}^n))}{1 + \tilde{d}^2(\tilde{x}_{\lambda n-1}^{n-1}, (f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_{\lambda n-1}^{n-1})) + \tilde{d}^2(\tilde{x}_{\lambda n}^n, (f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_{\lambda n}^n))} \right)} \xi(t) dt \\ &\quad + \gamma \int_0^{\tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda n-1}^{n-1}, \tilde{x}_{\lambda n}^n)} \xi(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

$$= \alpha \int_0^{\left( \frac{\tilde{d}^3(\tilde{x}_{\lambda n-1}^{n-1}, \tilde{x}_{\lambda n}^n) + \tilde{d}^3(\tilde{x}_{\lambda n}^n, \tilde{x}_{\lambda n+1}^{n+1})}{1 + \tilde{d}^2(\tilde{x}_{\lambda n-1}^{n-1}, \tilde{x}_{\lambda n}^n) + \tilde{d}^2(\tilde{x}_{\lambda n}^n, \tilde{x}_{\lambda n+1}^{n+1})} \right)} \xi(t) dt + \gamma \int_0^{\tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda n-1}^{n-1}, \tilde{x}_{\lambda n}^n)} \xi(t) dt$$

$$\leq (\alpha + \gamma) \int_0^{\tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda n-1}^{n-1}, \tilde{x}_{\lambda n}^n)} \xi(t) dt + (\alpha) \int_0^{\tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda n}^n, \tilde{x}_{\lambda n+1}^{n+1})} \xi(t) dt$$

So by the definition we can have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi[\tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda n}^n, \tilde{x}_{\lambda n+1}^{n+1})] &= \psi[\tilde{d}((f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_{\lambda n-1}^{n-1}), (f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_{\lambda n}^n))] \\ &\leq \psi[\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda n-1}^{n-1}, \tilde{x}_{\lambda n}^n)] - \varphi[\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda n-1}^{n-1}, \tilde{x}_{\lambda n}^n)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \psi[(\alpha + \gamma) \int_0^{\tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda n-1}^{n-1}, \tilde{x}_{\lambda n}^n)} \xi(t) dt + (\alpha) \int_0^{\tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda n}^n, \tilde{x}_{\lambda n+1}^{n+1})} \xi(t) dt]$$

$$- \varphi[\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda n-1}^{n-1}, \tilde{x}_{\lambda n}^n)]$$

$$\psi[\tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda n}^n, \tilde{x}_{\lambda n+1}^{n+1})] \leq \psi[(\alpha + \gamma) \int_0^{\tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda n-1}^{n-1}, \tilde{x}_{\lambda n}^n)} \xi(t) dt + (\alpha) \int_0^{\tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda n}^n, \tilde{x}_{\lambda n+1}^{n+1})} \xi(t) dt]$$

Since  $\psi$  is non-decreasing, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda n}^n, \tilde{x}_{\lambda n+1}^{n+1})} \xi(t) dt &\leq (\alpha + \gamma) \int_0^{\tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda n-1}^{n-1}, \tilde{x}_{\lambda n}^n)} \xi(t) dt + (\alpha) \int_0^{\tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda n}^n, \tilde{x}_{\lambda n+1}^{n+1})} \xi(t) dt \\ &\int_0^{\tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda n}^n, \tilde{x}_{\lambda n+1}^{n+1})} \xi(t) dt \leq \frac{(\alpha + \gamma)}{(1 - \alpha)} \int_0^{\tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda n-1}^{n-1}, \tilde{x}_{\lambda n}^n)} \xi(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\int_0^{\tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda n}^n, \tilde{x}_{\lambda n+1}^{n+1})} \xi(t) dt \leq s^n \int_0^{\tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda 0}^0, \tilde{x}_{\lambda 1}^1)} \xi(t) dt, \text{ Where } s = \frac{\alpha + \gamma}{1 - \alpha}$$

Taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda n}^n, \tilde{x}_{\lambda n+1}^{n+1}) = 0 \quad (2.1.2)$$

Now, we will show that  $\{\tilde{x}_{\lambda_n}^n\}$  is a soft Cauchy sequence. Suppose that  $\{\tilde{x}_{\lambda_n}^n\}$  is not a Soft Cauchy sequence, which means that there is a constant  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  such that for each positive integer  $k$ , there are positive integer  $\lambda_{m(k)}$  and  $\lambda_{n(k)}$  with  $\lambda_{m(k)} > \lambda_{n(k)} > k$ :

$$\tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_{m(k)}}^{m(k)}, \tilde{x}_{\lambda_{n(k)}}^{n(k)}) \geq \epsilon_0, \tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_{m(k)-1}}^{m(k)-1}, \tilde{x}_{\lambda_{n(k)}}^{n(k)}) < \epsilon_0$$

By triangle inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_0 &\leq \tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_{m(k)}}^{m(k)}, \tilde{x}_{\lambda_{n(k)}}^{n(k)}) \leq \tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_{m(k)}}^{m(k)}, \tilde{x}_{\lambda_{m(k)-1}}^{m(k)-1}) + \tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_{m(k)-1}}^{m(k)-1}, \tilde{x}_{\lambda_{n(k)}}^{n(k)}) \\ &< \tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_{m(k)}}^{m(k)}, \tilde{x}_{\lambda_{m(k)-1}}^{m(k)-1}) + \epsilon_0 \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_{m(k)}}^{m(k)}, \tilde{x}_{\lambda_{n(k)}}^{n(k)}) = \epsilon_0$  (2.1.3)

Similarly, we have

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_{m(k)}}^{m(k)}, \tilde{x}_{\lambda_{n(k)+1}}^{n(k)+1}) = \epsilon_0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_{n(k)}}^{n(k)}, \tilde{x}_{\lambda_{m(k)+1}}^{m(k)+1}) = \epsilon_0 \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_{m(k)+1}}^{m(k)+1}, \tilde{x}_{\lambda_{n(k)+1}}^{n(k)+1}) = \epsilon_0. \end{aligned} \right\} (2.1.4)$$

Putting  $\tilde{x}_\lambda = \tilde{x}_{\lambda_{m(k)}}^{m(k)}$  and  $\tilde{y}_\mu = \tilde{x}_{\lambda_{n(k)}}^{n(k)}$  in (2.1.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_{m(k)}}^{m(k)}, \tilde{x}_{\lambda_{n(k)}}^{n(k)}) &= \alpha \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}^3(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_{m(k)}}^{m(k)}, (f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_{m(k)}}^{m(k)})) + \tilde{d}^3(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_{n(k)}}^{n(k)}, (f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_{n(k)}}^{n(k)}))}{1 + \tilde{d}^2(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_{m(k)}}^{m(k)}, (f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_{m(k)}}^{m(k)})) + \tilde{d}^2(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_{n(k)}}^{n(k)}, (f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_{n(k)}}^{n(k)}))} \right) \xi(t) dt \\ &+ \gamma \int_0^1 \left\{ \tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_{m(k)}}^{m(k)}, \tilde{x}_{\lambda_{n(k)}}^{n(k)}) \right\} \xi(t) dt \\ &= \alpha \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\tilde{d}^3(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_{m(k)+1}}^{m(k)+1}, \tilde{x}_{\lambda_{m(k)+1}}^{m(k)+1}) + \tilde{d}^3(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_{n(k)+1}}^{n(k)+1}, \tilde{x}_{\lambda_{n(k)+1}}^{n(k)+1})}{1 + \tilde{d}^2(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_{m(k)+1}}^{m(k)+1}, \tilde{x}_{\lambda_{m(k)+1}}^{m(k)+1}) + \tilde{d}^2(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_{n(k)+1}}^{n(k)+1}, \tilde{x}_{\lambda_{n(k)+1}}^{n(k)+1})} \right) \xi(t) dt \\ &+ \gamma \int_0^1 \left\{ \tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_{m(k)+1}}^{m(k)+1}, \tilde{x}_{\lambda_{n(k)+1}}^{n(k)+1}) \right\} \xi(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and using above equations we have

$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_{m(k)}}^{m(k)}, \tilde{x}_{\lambda_{n(k)}}^{n(k)}) = (\gamma)\epsilon_0 \dots$  (2.1.5)

$$\begin{aligned} \psi \left[ \tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_{m(k)+1}}^{m(k)+1}, \tilde{x}_{\lambda_{n(k)+1}}^{n(k)+1}) \right] &= \psi \left[ \tilde{d}((f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_{m(k)}}^{m(k)}), (f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_{n(k)}}^{n(k)})) \right] \\ &\leq \psi \left[ \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_{m(k)}}^{m(k)}, \tilde{x}_{\lambda_{n(k)}}^{n(k)}) \right] - \varphi \left[ \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_{m(k)}}^{m(k)}, \tilde{x}_{\lambda_{n(k)}}^{n(k)}) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , and the continuity of  $\psi$  and  $\varphi$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi[\epsilon_0] &\leq \psi[(\gamma)\epsilon_0] - \varphi[(\gamma)\epsilon_0] \\ &\leq \psi[\epsilon_0] - \varphi[(\gamma)\epsilon_0] \end{aligned}$$

This leads to  $\varphi[(\gamma)\epsilon_0] = 0$ , and property of  $\varphi$  we get  $\epsilon_0 = 0$ .

This is a contradiction. Thus  $\{\tilde{x}_{\lambda_n}^n\}$  is a soft Cauchy sequence in  $\tilde{X}$ , which is complete. Thus, there is  $\tilde{x}_\lambda^* \in \tilde{X}$  such that  $\tilde{x}_{\lambda_n}^n \rightarrow \tilde{x}_\lambda^*, n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Taking  $\tilde{x}_\lambda = \tilde{x}_{\lambda_n}^n$  and  $\tilde{y}_\mu = \tilde{x}_\lambda^*$  in (4.1.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_n}^n, \tilde{x}_\lambda^*) &= \alpha \int_0^{\left\{ \frac{\tilde{d}^3(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_n}^n, (f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_n}^n)) + \tilde{d}^3(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*, (f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*))}{1 + \tilde{d}^2(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_n}^n, (f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_n}^n)) + \tilde{d}^2(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*, (f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*))} \right\}} \xi(t) dt \\ &+ \gamma \int_0^{\{\tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_n}^n, \tilde{x}_\lambda^*)\}} \xi(t) dt \dots (2.1.6) \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_n}^n, \tilde{x}_\lambda^*) &\leq (\alpha) \{ \tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*, (f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*)) \} \\ \psi \left[ \tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_{n+1}}^{n+1}, (f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*)) \right] &= \psi \left[ \tilde{d}((f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_n}^n), (f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*)) \right] \\ &\leq \psi \left[ \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_n}^n, \tilde{x}_\lambda^*) \right] - \varphi \left[ \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\tilde{x}_{\lambda_n}^n, \tilde{x}_\lambda^*) \right] \\ \psi \left[ \tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*, (f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*)) \right] &\leq \psi \left[ (\alpha) \int_0^{\{\tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*, (f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*))\}} \xi(t) dt \right] - \\ &\quad - \varphi \left[ (\alpha) \int_0^{\{\tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*, (f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*))\}} \xi(t) dt \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\psi \left[ \tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*, (f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*)) \right] \leq \psi \int_0^{\tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*, (f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*))} \xi(t) dt - \varphi \left[ \alpha \int_0^{\{\tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*, (f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*))\}} \xi(t) dt \right]$$

Which implies  $\varphi \left[ (\alpha) \{ \tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*, (f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*)) \} \right] = 0$ ,

So  $\tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*, (f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*)) = 0$ , that is  $(f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*) = \tilde{x}_\lambda^*$ .

**Uniqueness:** Let  $\tilde{y}_\mu^*$  is another fixed point of  $(f, \varphi)$  in  $\tilde{X}$  such that  $\tilde{x}_\lambda^* \neq \tilde{y}_\mu^*$ , then

Putting  $\tilde{x}_\lambda = \tilde{x}_\lambda^*$  and  $\tilde{y}_\mu = \tilde{y}_\mu^*$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*, \tilde{y}_\mu^*) &= \alpha \int_0^{\left\{ \frac{\tilde{d}^3(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*, (f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*)) + \tilde{d}^3(\tilde{y}_\mu^*, (f, \varphi)(\tilde{y}_\mu^*))}{1 + \tilde{d}^2(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*, (f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*)) + \tilde{d}^2(\tilde{y}_\mu^*, (f, \varphi)(\tilde{y}_\mu^*))} \right\}} \xi(t) dt + \gamma \int_0^{\{\tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*, \tilde{y}_\mu^*)\}} \xi(t) dt \\ \psi \left[ \tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*, \tilde{y}_\mu^*) \right] &= \psi \left[ \tilde{d}((f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*), (f, \varphi)(\tilde{y}_\mu^*)) \right] \\ &\leq \psi \left[ \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*, \tilde{y}_\mu^*) \right] - \varphi \left[ \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*, \tilde{y}_\mu^*) \right] \\ &\leq \psi \left[ (\gamma) \tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*, \tilde{y}_\mu^*) \right] - \varphi \left[ (\gamma) \tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*, \tilde{y}_\mu^*) \right] \\ \psi \left[ \tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*, \tilde{y}_\mu^*) \right] &\leq \psi \left[ \tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*, \tilde{y}_\mu^*) \right] - \varphi \left[ (\gamma) \tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*, \tilde{y}_\mu^*) \right] \end{aligned}$$

So  $\varphi \left[ (\gamma) \tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*, \tilde{y}_\mu^*) \right] = 0$ , thus  $\tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_\lambda^*, \tilde{y}_\mu^*) = 0$ , that is  $\tilde{x}_\lambda^* = \tilde{y}_\mu^*$ .

Hence fixed point of  $(f, \varphi)$  is unique.

**Corollary 4.2:** Let  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{d}, E)$  be a soft complete metric space. Suppose the soft mapping  $(f, \varphi) : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{d}, E) \rightarrow (\tilde{X}, \tilde{d}, E)$  satisfies the following condition:

$$\psi \left[ \tilde{d} \left( (f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_\lambda), (f, \varphi)(\tilde{y}_\mu) \right) \right] \leq \psi \left[ \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\tilde{x}_\lambda, \tilde{y}_\mu) \right] - \varphi \left[ \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\tilde{x}_\lambda, \tilde{y}_\mu) \right] \quad (2.2.1)$$

For each  $\tilde{x}_\lambda, \tilde{y}_\mu \in \tilde{X}, \tilde{x}_\lambda \neq \tilde{y}_\mu$ , where  $\psi, \varphi$  are altering distance functions, and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\tilde{x}_\lambda, \tilde{y}_\mu) &= \alpha \int_0^{\left\{ \frac{\tilde{d}^3(\tilde{x}_\lambda, (f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_\lambda)) + \tilde{d}^3(\tilde{y}_\mu, (f, \varphi)(\tilde{y}_\mu))}{1 + \tilde{d}^2(\tilde{x}_\lambda, (f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_\lambda)) + \tilde{d}^2(\tilde{y}_\mu, (f, \varphi)(\tilde{y}_\mu))} \right\}} \xi(t) dt + \gamma \int_0^{\{\tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_\lambda, \tilde{y}_\mu)\}} \xi(t) dt \\ &\quad + \delta \int_0^{\{\tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_\lambda, (f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_\lambda)) + \tilde{d}(\tilde{y}_\mu, (f, \varphi)(\tilde{y}_\mu))\}} \xi(t) dt \\ &\quad + \eta \int_0^{\{\tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_\lambda, (f, \varphi)(\tilde{y}_\mu)) + \tilde{d}(\tilde{y}_\mu, (f, \varphi)(\tilde{x}_\lambda))\}} \xi(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

Where  $\alpha, \gamma, \delta, \eta > 0$  and  $2\alpha + \gamma + 2\delta + 2\eta < 1$  is a soft constant. Then  $(f, \varphi)$  has a unique soft fixed point in  $\tilde{X}$ .

**Proof:** It can be proved easily as previous theorem

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Ali, M. I., Feng, F., Liu, X., Min, W. K., Shabir, M., "On some new operations in soft set theory", *Comput. Math. Appl.* 49(2005) 1547-1553.
- [2] Banach, S. "Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales" *Fund. Math.* 3(1922) 133-181.
- [3] Branciari A., A fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type, *Int. J. Math. Sci.*, 29(9) (2002), 531-536.
- [4] Chen, D., "The parameterization reduction of soft sets and its applications", *Comput. Math. Appl.* 49 (2005) 757-763.
- [5] Das, S., and Samanta, S. K., "Soft metric", *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, 6(1) (2013) 77-94.
- [6] Das, S., and Samanta, S. K., "On soft metric spaces", *J. Fuzzy Math*, accepted.
- [7] Gunduz, C., (Aras), Sonmez, A., Çakalli, H., "On Soft Mappings", arXiv:1305.4545v1 [math.GM], 16 May 2013.
- [8] Hussain, S. and Ahmad, B., "Some properties of soft topological spaces", *Computers and Math. with Applications*, 62(2011), 4058-4067.
- [9] Jain, S., Bhardwaj, R., "Some fixed point theorems for new rational expansion in complete metric space", *South Asian Journal of mathematics*, (2012) vol. 2(4), 46-49.
- [10] Khan, M. S., Swalech, M. and Sessa, S., "Fixed point theorems by altering distance between the points", *Bull. Austral Math. Soc.*, 30 (1984) 1-9.
- [11] Maji, P. K., Roy, A. R., Biswas, R., "An application of soft sets in a decision making problem", *Comput. Math. Appl.* 44 (2002) 1077-1083.
- [12] Maji, P. K., Roy, A. R., Biswas, R., "Soft set theory", *Comput. Math. Appl.* 45 (2003) 555-562.
- [13] Majumdar, P., and Samanta, S. K., "On soft mappings", *Comput. Math. Appl.* 60 (2010) 2666-2672.
- [14] Molodtsov, D., "Soft set-theory-first results", *Comput. Math. Appl.* 37(1999) 19-31.(11)
- [15] Shabir, M., and Naz, M., "On soft topological spaces", *Comput. Math. Appl.* 61(2011) 1786-1799.