

Study of Fixed Points in Complete Metric Space by Symmetric Rational Expression

Kanchan Mishra^{*a}, Chitra Singh^{*}

Department of Mathematics, AISECT University Bhopal, MP

Abstract: In this paper we introduce the different method to solve and prove some fixed point and common fixed point theorems for Banach contraction in complete metric space by using new type rational contraction conditions. Our results extend and improve the recent ones.

Keywords: Fixed point, Common Fixed point, Continuous mapping, Complete Metric Space, Cauchy sequence. **AMS Classification:** 45H10.

I. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES:

Impact of fixed point theory in different branches of mathematics and its applications is immense. The first result on fixed points for contractive type mapping was the much celebrated Banach's contraction principle by S. Banach [1] in (1922). In the general setting of complete metric space, the important part of fixed point theory is Metric fixed point theory, because of its applications in different areas like variation and linear inequalities, improvement and approximation theory. Kannan [2] established fixed theorems in different spaces like Metric space. Rhoades (2001) and Khan et.al. (1984) author have proved that some unique fixed point theorems. In (1980) Jaggi [5] and Das [6] obtained some fixed point theorems with the mapping satisfying. Before going to prove our results first we define some important definitions.

Definition 2.1: Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, $c \in (0,1)$ and $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that for each $x, y \in X$, $d(T_x, T_y) \leq cd(x, y)$ [1], Then f has a unique fixed point, $a \in X$, such that for each $x \in X$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n x = a$. After the classical result, Kannan [2] gave a subsequently new contractive mapping to prove the fixed point theorem. Since then a number of mathematicians have been worked on fixed point theory dealing with mappings satisfying various type of contractive conditions. In (2002) A. Branciari [3] analyzed the existence of fixed point.

Definition 2.2: If T is self mapping of a complete metric space X into itself satisfying; $d(T_x, T_y) \leq \alpha [d(T_x, x) + d(T_y, x)]$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, then T has unique fixed point in X . See [2]

Definition 2.3: $d(T_x, T_y) \leq [d(x, T_y) + d(y, T_x)]$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, then T has unique fixed point in

X . See [4], A similar conclusion was also obtained by Chatterjee [7].

Definition 2.4: Let the rational expression

$$d(T_x, T_y) \leq \alpha \frac{d(x, T_x)d(y, T_y)}{d(x, y)} + \beta d(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, $x \neq y$ and $0 \leq \alpha + \beta \leq 1$

then T has unique fixed point in X . See [5]

Definition 2.5: let some fixed point theorems with the mapping satisfying:

$$d(T_x, T_y) \leq \alpha \frac{d(x, T_x)d(y, T_y)}{d(x, y) + d(x, T_y) + d(y, T_x)} + \beta d(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, $x \neq y$, and $0 \leq \alpha + \beta \leq 1$ then T has unique fixed point in X . See [5][6].

Definition 2.6: A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in metric space (X, d) is called Cauchy sequence if for

Each $\epsilon < 0$, there exists a positive integer n_0 such that $m, n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow d(x_m, x_n) < \epsilon$

Definition 2.7 : A complete metric space is a metric space in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

Definition 2.8 : A sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to x if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0$ in this case x is called a limit of $\{x_n\}$ and we write $x_n \rightarrow x$.

II. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem-3.1: Let T be a continuous self map, defined on a complete metric space X , satisfies the following condition ;

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq \alpha \left[\frac{d^3(x, T_x) + d^3(x, T_y)}{1 + d^2(x, T_x)} \right] + \beta [d(y, T_y) \cdot d(y, T_x)] + \gamma d(x, y) + \eta [d(x, T_x) + d(y, T_y)] + \delta [d(x, T_y) + d(y, T_x)]$$

(3.1.1)

for all $x, y, x \neq y$ and for some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta, \delta \in [0,1)$ with $(\alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma + 2\delta + \eta) < 1$, then T has fixed point in X .

Proof: Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X and we define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ by means of iterates of T by setting, $T_{x_0}^n = x_n$ where n is a positive integer. If $x_n = x_{n+1}$ for some n , then x_n is affixed point of T . Taking $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$, for all n .

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_{n+1}, x_n) &= d(Tx_n, Tx_{n-1}) \\
 d(x_{n+1}, x_n) &\leq \alpha \left[\frac{d^3(x_n, Tx_n) + d^3(x_n, Tx_{n-1})}{1 + d^2(x_n, Tx_n)} \right] + \beta [d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) \cdot d(x_{n-1}, Tx_n)] + \gamma d(x_n, x_{n-1}) \\
 &\quad + \eta [d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})] + \delta [d(x_n, Tx_{n-1}) + d(x_{n-1}, Tx_n)] \\
 &\leq \alpha \left[\frac{d^3(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d^3(x_n, x_n)}{1 + d^2(x_n, x_{n+1})} \right] + \beta [d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \cdot d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})] + \gamma d(x_n, x_{n-1}) \\
 &\quad + \eta [d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)] + \delta [d(x_n, x_n) + d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})] \\
 &\leq \alpha \left[\frac{d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_n, x_n) \{d^2(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d^2(x_n, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})d(x_n, x_n)\}}{1 + d^2(x_n, x_{n+1})} \right] \\
 &\quad + \beta d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \gamma d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + \eta [d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)] + \delta d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) \\
 &\leq \alpha \left[\frac{d(x_n, x_{n+1})d^2(x_n, x_{n+1})}{d^2(x_n, x_{n+1})} \right] + \beta [d(x_n, x_{n+1})] + \gamma d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + \eta [d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)] \\
 &\quad + \delta [d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})] \\
 d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \alpha d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \beta d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \gamma d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + \eta [d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n-1}, x_n)] \\
 &\quad + \delta d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) \tag{3.1.2}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq (\alpha + \beta + \eta + \delta)d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + (\gamma + \eta + \delta) d(x_n, x_{n-1}) \\
 \{1 - (\alpha + \beta + \eta + \delta)\} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq (\gamma + \eta + \delta) d(x_n, x_{n-1})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \frac{(\gamma + \eta + \delta)}{1 - (\alpha + \beta + \eta + \delta)} d(x_n, x_{n-1}) \\
 &\quad \vdots \\
 &\leq \left[\frac{(\gamma + \eta + \delta)}{1 - (\alpha + \beta + \eta + \delta)} \right]^{n \text{ times}} d(x_n, x_{n-1})
 \end{aligned}$$

By the triangular inequality, we have $m > n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_n, x_m) &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + d(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\
 &\leq (K^n + K^{n+1} + K^{n+2} + \dots + K^{m-1}) d(x_1, x_0)
 \end{aligned}$$

Where $K = \frac{(\gamma + \eta + \delta)}{1 - (\alpha + \beta + \eta + \delta)} < 1$ (3.1.3)

$$1 - (\alpha + \beta + \eta + \delta) \leq (\gamma + \eta + \delta) \tag{3.1.4}$$

$$(\alpha + \beta + 2\eta + 2\delta + \gamma) \leq 1 \tag{3.1.5}$$

$$\therefore d(x_n, x_m) \leq \frac{K^n}{1-K} d(x_0, T x_0) \tag{3.1.6}$$

So $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence in X, So by completeness of X, there is a point $u \in X$, such that $x_n \rightarrow u$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

Further, the continuity of T in X, implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(u) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T x_n \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T x_n \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= u$$

$\therefore u$ is fixed point of T in X.

Theorem 3.2: Let T be the self map defined on a complete metric space (X, d) such that (3.1.1) holds. If for some positive integer p, T^p is continuous, then T has a fixed point not unique.

Proof: We define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ as in Theorem-3.1 ,clearly it converges to some point u in (X,d) . therefore its subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$, $(n_k = k_p)$ also converges to u .

$$\begin{aligned} T_u^p &= T^p(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} T^{p}_{x_{n_k}} \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (T_{x_{k+1}}) \\ &= u \end{aligned}$$

Therefore u is a fixed point of T^p . Now ,we show that $T_u = u$. Let m be the smallest positive integer, s.t. $T_u^m = u$, but $T_u^q \neq u$, for $q=1,2,3, \dots, m-1$.

If $m > 1$, then by (3.1.1)

$$\begin{aligned} d(T_u, u) &= d(T_u, T_u^m) = d[T_u, T(T_u^{m-1})] \\ d(u, T_u) &\leq \alpha \left[\frac{d^3(u, T_u) + d^3(u, T(T_u^{m-1}))}{1 + d^2(u, T_u)} \right] + \beta [d(T_u^{m-1}, T(T_u^{m-1})) \cdot d(T_u^{m-1}, T_u)] \\ &\quad + \gamma d(u, T_u^{m-1}) + \eta [d(u, T_u) + (d(T_u^{m-1}, T(T_u^{m-1})))] \\ &\quad + \delta [d(u, T(T_u^{m-1})) + d(T_u^{m-1}, T_u)] \quad (3.2.1) \\ &\leq \alpha \left[\frac{d(u, T_u) + d(u, T(T_u^{m-1})) \{d^2(u, T_u) + d^2(u, T(T_u^{m-1})) + d(u, T_u) \cdot d(u, T(T_u^{m-1}))\}}{1 + d^2(u, T_u)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ \beta [d(T_u^{m-1}, u) \cdot d(T_u^{m-1}, T_u)] + \gamma d(u, T_u^{m-1}) + \eta [d(u, T_u) + d(T_u^{m-1}, u)] \\ &+ \delta [d(u, u) + d(T_u^{m-1}, T_u)] \\ &\leq \alpha \left[\frac{\{d(u, T_u) + d(u, u)\} \{d^2(u, T_u) + d^2(u, u) + d(u, T_u) \cdot d(u, u)\}}{1 + d^2(u, T_u)} \right] + \beta [d(T_u^{m-1}, u) \cdot d(T_u^{m-1}, T_u)] \\ &+ \gamma d(u, T_u^{m-1}) + \eta [d(u, T_u) + d(T_u^{m-1}, u)] + \delta [d(u, u) + d(T_u^{m-1}, T_u)] \\ &\leq \alpha \left[\frac{\{d(u, T_u) \cdot d^2(u, T_u)\}}{d^2(u, T_u)} \right] + \beta d(u, T_u) + \gamma d(u, T_u^{m-1}) + \eta [d(u, T_u) + d(T_u^{m-1}, u)] \\ &+ \delta d(T_u^{m-1}, T_u) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d(u, T_u) &\leq \alpha d(u, T_u) + \beta d(u, T_u) + \gamma d(u, T_u^{m-1}) + \eta [d(u, T_u) + d(T_u^{m-1}, u)] \\ &+ \delta [d(T_u^{m-1}, u) + d(u, T_u)] \quad (3.2.2) \end{aligned}$$

$$d(u, T_u) \leq (\alpha + \beta + \eta + \delta) d(u, T_u) + (\gamma + \eta + \delta) d(u, T_u^{m-1}) \quad (3.2.3)$$

$$d(u, T_u) \leq K d(u, T_u^{m-1}) \quad (3.2.4)$$

Where $K = \frac{(\gamma + \eta + \delta)}{1 - (\alpha + \beta + \eta + \delta)} < 1$

$$[1 - (\alpha + \beta + \eta + \delta)] d(u, T_u) \leq (\gamma + \eta + \delta) d(u, T_u^{m-1})$$

$$(\alpha + \beta + 2\eta + 2\delta + \gamma) \leq 1 \quad (3.2.5)$$

Thus we write $d(u, T_u) \leq K^m d(u, T_u)$

Since $K^m < 1$

Therefore $d(u, T_u) \leq d(u, T_u)$

Which is contradiction.

Hence $T_u = u$, i.e. u is a fixed point of T . But T has not unique fixed point.

Theorem 3.3: Let, T_1 and T_2 be two self maps, defined on a complete metric space (X,d) satisfying the condition ;

$$d(T_1 x, T_2 y) \leq \alpha \left[\frac{d^3(x_{2n}, T_1 x_{2n}) + d^3(x_{2n}, T_2 x_{2n-1})}{1 + d^2(x_{2n}, T_1 x_{2n})} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & +\beta[d(x_{2n-1}, T_2x_{2n-1}).d(x_{2n-1}, T_1x_{2n})] + \gamma d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) \\
 & \quad +\eta [d((x_{2n}, T_1x_{2n}) + d(x_{2n-1}, T_2x_{2n-1}))] \\
 & \quad +\delta [d(x_{2n}, T_2x_{2n-1}) + d(x_{2n-1}, T_1x_{2n})]
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, x \neq y$ and for some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta, \delta \in [0, 1)$ with $(\alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma + 2\delta + \eta) < 1$. then T has

fixed point in X and by Theorem (3.2) T_1 and T_2 are continuous on X.

Then T_1 and T_2 have a common fixed point.

Proof : We have $d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) = d(T_1x_{2n}, T_2x_{2n-1})$

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) & \leq \alpha \left[\frac{d^3(x_{2n}, T_1x_{2n}) + d^3(x_{2n}, T_2x_{2n-1})}{1 + d^2(x_{2n}, T_1x_{2n})} \right] \\
 & +\beta[d(x_{2n-1}, T_2x_{2n-1}).d(x_{2n-1}, T_1x_{2n})] + \gamma d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) \\
 & +\eta [d((x_{2n}, T_1x_{2n}) + d(x_{2n-1}, T_2x_{2n-1}))] \\
 & +\delta [d(x_{2n}, T_2x_{2n-1}) + d(x_{2n-1}, T_1x_{2n})] \quad (3.3.1) \\
 & \leq \alpha \left[\frac{\{d(x_{2n}, T_1x_{2n}) + d(x_{2n}, T_2x_{2n-1})\} \{d^2(x_{2n}, T_1x_{2n}) + d^2(x_{2n}, T_2x_{2n-1}) + d(x_{2n}, T_1x_{2n})d(x_{2n}, T_2x_{2n-1})\}}{1 + d^2(x_{2n}, T_1x_{2n})} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & +\beta[d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}).d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n+1})] + \gamma d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) + \eta [d((x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \\
 & +d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})) + \delta [d(x_{2n}, x_{2n}) + d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n+1})] \\
 & \leq \alpha \left[\frac{\{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})d^2(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})\}}{d^2(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})} \right] +\beta[d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})] + \gamma d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) \\
 & + \eta [d((x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})) + \delta [d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) + d((x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}))] \\
 & \leq \alpha d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + \beta d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + \gamma d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}) + \eta [d((x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}))] \\
 & \quad + \delta [d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) + d((x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}))] \quad (3.3.2)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) & \leq (\alpha + \beta + \eta + \delta)d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + (\gamma + \eta + \delta)d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) \quad (3.3.3) \\
 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) & \leq \frac{(\gamma + \eta + \delta)}{1 - (\alpha + \beta + \eta + \delta)} d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) \leq K^{2n} d(x_0, x_1)$$

Where $K = \frac{(\gamma + \eta + \delta)}{1 - (\alpha + \beta + \eta + \delta)} < 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
 [1 - (\alpha + \beta + \eta + \delta)]d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) & \leq (\gamma + \eta + \delta)d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) \\
 (\alpha + \beta + 2\eta + 2\delta + \gamma) & < 1
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can show that

$$(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \leq K^{2n+1} d(x_0, x_1)$$

Now it can be easily seen that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Let $x_n \rightarrow u$ then the subsequence $\{x_{n_p}\}$ also converges to u, for $n_p = 2p$.

Now $T_1T_2(u) = T_1T_2 \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_p}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_p} + 1 \\
 & = u
 \end{aligned}$$

We now show that $T_2(u) \neq u$

If $T_2(u) \neq u$, then

$$d(u, T_2u) = d(T_1T_2u, T_2u)$$

$$d(u, T_2u) \leq \alpha \left[\frac{d^3(T_2u, T_1T_2u) + d^3(T_2u, T_2u)}{1 + d^2(T_2u, T_1T_2u)} \right] + \beta [d(u, T_2u) \cdot d(u, T_1T_2u)] + \gamma d(T_2u, u) \\
 + \eta [d((T_2u, T_1T_2u) + d(u, T_2u))] + \delta [d(T_2u, T_2u) + d(u, T_1T_2u)] \quad (3.3.3)$$

$$\leq \alpha \left[\frac{d(T_2u, u) + d(T_2u, T_2u) \{ (d^2(T_2u, u) + d^2(T_2u, T_2u) + d(T_2u, u) \cdot d(T_2u, T_2u)) \}}{1 + d^2(T_2u, u)} \right]$$

$$+ \beta [d(u, T_2u) \cdot d(u, u)] + \gamma d(T_2u, u) + \eta [d((T_2u, u) + d(u, T_2u))] + \delta d(T_2u, T_2u) + d(u, u)$$

$$\leq \alpha \left[\frac{d(T_2u, u) \{ d^2(T_2u, u) \}}{d^2(T_2u, u)} \right] + \gamma d(T_2u, u) + \eta [d((T_2u, u) + d(u, T_2u))] \leq \alpha d(T_2u, u) + \gamma d$$

$$(T_2u, u) + \eta [d((T_2u, u) + d(u, T_2u))] \square$$

$$d(u, T_2u) \leq (\alpha + \gamma + 2\eta) d(T_2u, u) \quad (3.3.4)$$

which is contradiction.

$$\therefore (\alpha + \beta + 2\eta + 2\delta + \gamma) < 1, \text{ so } (\alpha + \gamma + 2\eta) \leq 1.$$

Hence we have $T_2u = u$

Now $T_1T_2u = T_2u = u$

Thus u is the common fixed point of T_1 and T_2 , But uniqueness is not possible.

Conclusion: In this paper we proof some theorems of fixed points in complete metric space.

REFERENCES:

- [1]. Banach, Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations integrals, *Fundamental Mathematics*, 3(1922), 133-181.
- [2]. R. Kannan, Some results on fixed points, *Bull. Calcutta Math.Soc.*, 60 (1968) 71-76., *Math. Moan.*, (1969) 76, 405-406 MR41,2487.
- [3]. A.Branciari, fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type, *Int.J.Math.Math.Sci*, 29(2002),no.531-536.
- [4]. Fisher B, *Publ. Inst. Math. Soc.*, (1976) 25, 193-194.
- [5]. Jaggi D.S, *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, (1977) 8, 223-230.
- [6]. Jaggi D.S, Dass B.K, *Bull. Cal. Math.*, (1980) 261-266.
- [7]. S.K.Chatterjee, fixed point theotems, *Comptes. Rend. Acad, Bulgaria Sci.*, (1972) 25, 727-730.
- [8]. Fisher B, Sessa S, *J. Univ. Kuwait Sci.*, (1988) 15, 9-117
- [9]. Gupta V, Sharma R, *Advances in Applied Science Research*, (2012) 3 (3), 1266- 1270.
- [10]. L.Ciric, A new fixed-point theorem for contractive mappings, *Publ. Inst. Math. (Belgr.)*, 30 (1981) 25-27.
- [11]. R. Kannan, Some results on fixed points, *Bull. Calcutta math.Soc.*, 60 (1968) 71-76.
- [12]. A.Meir, E. Keeler, A theorem on contraction mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* (28) (1969) 326-329.
- [13]. N.Malhotra, B. Bansal, A common fixed point theorem for six weakly compatible and commuting maps in b-Metric Spaces, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 101 (3) (2015) 325-337.
- [14]. N. Malhotra, B.Bansal, Some Common Coupled Fixed Point Theorems for Generalized Contraction in b-Metric Spaces, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, 8 (2015) 8-16.
- [15]. Emmanuel Boissard, Weierstrass Institute, Mahrenstrasse 39, 10117 Berlin, Germany.
- [16]. Nathael Gozlan, University Paris-Est, LAMA (UMR 8050), UPEMLV, UPEC, CNRS, F-77454, Marne-la-Vallee, France.
- [17]. Joseph Lehec, CEREMADE (UMR CNRS 7534) Universite Paris-Dauphine, Place de Lattre de Tassigny, 75016 Paris.
- [18]. Chistian Leonard, Modal-X. University Paris Quest. Bat. G, 200 av. De la Republique. 92001 Nanterre, France.
- [19]. Georg Menz, Stanford University, Department of Mathematics, 450 Serra Mall, Stanford, California 94305.
- [20]. Andre Schlichting, Institute for Applied Mathematics, University of Bonn, Endenicher Allee 60, D-53115 Bonn.
- [21]. Asadollah Aghajani, Mujahid Abbas, Jamal Rezael Roshan, Common Fixed Point of Generalized Weak Contrac ve Mappings in Par ally Ordered G_b -metric Spaces, *Filomat* 28:6 (2014), 1087-1101 DOI 10.2298/FIL140 6087A.
- [22]. B. C. Dhage 'Generalized metric spaces and topological structure. I,' *Annalele S n ceale Universita I Al.I. Cuza*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 3-24, (2000).
- [23]. B. C. Dhage, 'On generalized metric spaces and topological structure. II,' *Pure and Applied Mathema ka Sciences*, vol. 40, no. 1-2, pp. 37-41, (1994).
- [24]. Aydi, H., Shatanawi, W., and Vetro, C., 'generalized weakly G-contrac on mapping in G-metric spaces,' *Computers & Mathema cs with Applica ons*, vol. 62, pp. 4222-4229, (2011).

- [25]. Wang, S. Z., Li, B. Y., Gao, Z. M., Iseki, K., Some fixed point theorems for expansion mappings, *Math. Japonica*, 29 (1984), 631-636.
- [26]. W. Fechner, Stability of a functional inequalities associated with the Jordan-von Neumann functional equation, *Aequationes Math.*, 71 (2006), 149-161.
- [27]. W. Fechner, On some functional inequalities related to the logarithmic mean, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 128 (2010), 36-45.
- [28]. P. Gavruta, A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 184 (1994), 431-436.
- [29]. T. M. Rassias, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 72 (1978), 297-300.
- [30]. D. Shin, C. Park, S. Farhadabadi, Stability and superstability of J^* -Homomorphisms and J^* -derivations for a generalized Cauchy-Jensen, *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.*, 17 (2014), 125-134.
- [31]. B. C. Dhage, "A common fixed point in the metric spaces," *Bulletin of the Calcutta Mathematical Society*, vol. 91, no. 6, pp.475-480, (1999).
- [32]. Aydi, H. Shatanawi, W., and Vetro, C., "On generalized weakly G-contraction mapping in G-metric spaces," vol. 62, pp. 4222-4229, (2011).
- [33]. Muraliraj, A., Hussain, R. J., Coincidence and Fixed Point Theorems for Expansive Maps in d-Metric Spaces, *Int. Journal of Math. Analysis*, Vol. 7, 2013, no. 44, 2171-2179.
- [34]. B. C. Dhage, "Generalized metric space and mapping with fixed point," *Bull. Calculus, Mathematical Society*, vol. 84, pp. 329-336, (1992).
- [35]. S. M. Ulam, *A collection of the Mathematical problems*, Interscience Pub. New York, (1960).