Corrective Isometric Strength in Lumbopelvic Hip Complex for Postural Structure Muscle Formation

Yeliz Kahraman

Akdeniz University, Movement and Training Department

Abstract – The purpose was to compare the changes in dynamic force tasks flexion and corrective isometric strength and endurance. Twenty-five resistance male performed initial corrective, improvement and maintain corrective isometric strength pre-posttest. They are crossover group were completed 11 exercise regimes of over 8-weeks. The corrective isometric strength performed knee bow out, knee bow in, anterior pelvic tilt, arm fall and trunk muscular contractions. Significant and large time x load interactions were observed with flexion angular motion (P= 0.001 = η^2_p : 0.47) and improvement phase showed (P > 0.05 = 0.60). Effect of standard for times showed moderate effect size in flexion strength both corrective isometric phases. In conclusion, both complex training and phase development improved muscular performance by means of different mechanisms.

Keywords: Lumbar flexibility, corrective muscles formation, thoracolumbar, isometric strength.

I. INTRODUCTION

Mobility dysfunction any tasks of the sub-systems that bring about negative compensations in performance muscular [20]. For example, lumbo-pelvic complex show in terms of performance rotated movement in humans with complex lumbar spine compartment formation syndrome. But as improper neuromuscular rotated movement in humans with complex lumbar spine causes excessive bending flexion in all lumbopelvic fixed compartment [1]. Spinal balance to enable longitudinal rectus femoris and gluteus maximus conduct it possible to perform prophylactive activities and avoid compensatory mechanisms for the postural cone posterior stability region of economy [3,21,24,31]. This for such reason, it was revealed compasention deformity have noncontact mechanism and abnormal patterns [10,31]. Many tests assess the transver plane of the lumbar spine by pelvic rotation mechanical movement is described [6,9]. Similarly, repetitive isometric contractions reduce the risks injury such as back and lower back exercises have gluteus maximus fascia latea minimal effect is inserted to the iliotibial tract 5 second generation of force may influence lower fixation dynamic [23,35]. Moroever, an important muscle has thoracolumbar fascia was supported further load transfer [8,27]. In contract, load transfer must be for mechanical load analysis from lower compartment to upper as lower lumbo-pelvic region compartment that exceed excessive cause tibia rotation based on hip 60% lateral limb [6,9,20]. However, in internal rotation of the fixed tibialis most occur strain snydromes [23]. To better understanding load transfer mechanic along lumbopelvic-hip complex as a kinetic chain in postural function should be functional tendon strain as parts of spine contra rotated. Therefore, locomotive posture causes torsional musculature decreased hip strength and gluteus muscle activities in overactive increasing but observed overactive hip adductor motion in dynamic load condition [5]. Fascies tendon strain are create in specific body segments but may be more prone to asymmetry has reported in the spinal transver plane. This short of strain therapy, the sports training program for dynamic neuromuscular balance has been reduced muscle energy generation [17]. Studies have shown that the lumbar region shows postural muscles during power and strength condition but not enough static or isometric strengthening [21,25]. This has concepts effect to protect the spine and maintain proper posture with athletes and physical [27,30].

II. SYSTEM MODEL

In studies have found that a corrective exercise reduces energy reduce energy generation from the high load 70-90% static isoinertial [25,27]. Lumbopelvic-hip complex contains the muscle the proximal and distal ends group that connects abdomen, proximal lower syndrome to the losses of segment kinetic chain have shown to alter back injury [19,21,35].

Approximately postural segment distortion of physical individuals who collapse in complex movement sporting event do so only after has dynamic load stress [21,30,38]. The postural control and strategy of dynamic postural segment should be spinal balance. Although, it is usually that “mechanic syndrome” explains this compartment complex to be imbalance musculature and myofascial dystrophy [23,24]. Generally, the lumbar region has shown congenital induced forward flexion of the trunk that is the overactivity flexion [31,35]. Faulty may pelvic spinal blocked position one main reason to severe postural deviation [8]. The aim is return energy generation to maintain skeletal muscle fixation. This mechanism was used to exercise muscle volume [26]. Thus, ultimate isoinertial force be horizontal lumbar to preserve a greater strain [26,27]. This mechanism was used to exercise muscle volume [26]. Lee defined the force generation of

postural muscle torso therefore, causing proximal crossed toward the trunk [11,15]. However, this is not progressive adaptation can be caused by ineffiency in pelvic and hip stabilization in pelvic and hip stabilization high isometric [28]. Structural integrity within physiologic limitation shows by reducing postural segmental disrupture has highlighted the contribution of lumbar deep musculature and decreased strength function or imbalance may be by vertical lumbar flexion [32,33]. Thus, it is referenced isometric exercises is strength are a common muscular correlated spinal alignement to weakness such as sacrotuberous ligament [29]. Hence, lumbar lordosis view in lumbar spine radiography radius technique and lumbar myofasiculus deep multifidus, lower longissimus, ilicostalis may imbalance coordination of lumbopelvic region force movement [7,27,32]. These muscular procedure contraction mode for the mechanic external force and compression or shear force [24,44]. To the lumbar procedure region mechanical stress applied during lifting, increased compression force causes higher stress to intervertebral, which can cause in the degeneration of deep muscle [44]. Compressive muscle strength also is mechanomyography's optimal frequency to resistance stability exercise in lower force compartment [38]. But a component to creating a strength training program such as corrective exercise generated the pelvic, hip complex and lower back and abdomen may help to strength stability. As understanding of muscle, the functions, a muscle groups, not three separate layers based on their low back pelvic and hip this conditioning shows kinetic chain transfer of lower extremity. Although, flexion movement concentric vs. eccentric transfers have been through energy absorbed in total, isometric force production [24]. This is essentially force generation [37,39,44]. LPHC [Lumbopelvic-hip-complex] instability should be considered mechanics such as in isometric strengthening and corrective postural control [26,39]. Such method is the single-leg squat and superman examination [33]. Using again into LPHC stability is characterized by postural control. Corrective exercise to be considered postural control assessment to maintain neutral posture or minimal deviation from isometric contractions [28,36]. For this study, we have analyzed that lumbar flexion we have analyzed that lumbar flexion to assess overall torso control and LPHC stability. But, it is shown that long-term corrective exercise complex should have been for muscle force generation is in isometric strength [34,36]. Spinal instability may occur at compressive external loads as low 88N possible explaining the low load isometric strength effectively but not isometric exercise load. Lumbar musculature during isometric lifting is associated with risk of injury that generally occurs at low spinal loads [34]. To understand the mechanisms of low back movement and spinal stability can be estimated from corrective isometric complex modes of neuromuscular [39].

The aim of this study was to evaluate the influences of lumbar musculature posture on all spinal stability in the torso. It was hypothesized that spinal stability is reduced in posture by muscle will be included lateral sub-systems known into gluteus medias, tensor fascia latea, adductor complex quadratus lumborum.

III. PREVIOUS WORK

In this condition previous integrative neuromuscular condition are the older adult and young research in the most balance and ergogenic strength as no showed. Authors have corrective muscles difference working be was needed discovered in the literature.

IV. PROPOSED METHODOLOGY

The problem approaches a study conducted cross-over design within subjects. Subjects were examined for corrective isometric strengthening program with postural imbalance [8-weeks to 2 days]. Corrective movements were included comprehensive isometric complex as lumbar muscle energy modulated 3 load lights, moderate [1RM: repetation, 50, 70, 80%] across muscle contractive modes, power relatively movement, which included knees bow out, knees bow in, anterior pelvic tilt, arm fall, rowwing, lat pull down, shoulder press, back extension, superman plank, linear crunch. This investigation used a repeated measurement design in which all subjects performed corrective integrity. Performed improvement phase for a muscular size volume was RM 50-70% moderate low frequency within suprisingly isometric strength. To complex muscle activity were used intensity reference RM 60-80% moderate and high frequency for the maintain static activation muscle movement in torso to postural structure development.

Subjects Twenty-five resistance male participated 23.4±1.04 years; 176.51±1.17 heigth; 73.24±1.20 kg, respectively conducted in short-term 8-week corrective isometric strengthening program approximately 1-hour per visit. Participations signed informed consent from prior to this study. Participants were screened for isometric corrective performance. The study was approved for all phase by Institutional Review Board [No-501/01386)] with World Medical Association's of Helsinki Decleration.

Procedures Posture index formation the disadvantage is an index in the postural body segment. According to Fröhner's have calculated angular distance of the body segment [12]. Therefore, postural segment included in torso orientation. Posture indexes the perpendicular inclination both as lateral method and tragus. Sternum-thoracic spine from abdominal-lumbar spine distances

obtained on horizontal plane as low, optimal and poor. This way posture index (PI) vertical cord distance between sternum (a) and lumbar lordosis (d) with iliac crest (c) and thoracic kyphosis references vertical cord. High deviations lumbar lordosis thorax angle change direct indicates flexion angle. Posture index statement shown [a+d/b+c] 1.0 -1.3 posture balance references. Results obtained are horizontal deviations of lumbar muscles in the strain. Torso inclination has resulted from the static load stress that cervical-lumbar head angular of the distance shown unilateral flexion of the sagittal configuration. The data obtained show the postural structure of the lumbar region, muscle tone, and strength formation capacity [13]. The lumbar core center will be the reason the pelvic asymmetry, lordosis, and low back pain were corrective isometric strength. Posture deformity showed an imbalance of pelvic rotation were corrective isometric strength. Posture deformity showed an imbalance of pelvic rotation while torso assessment was appropriate. Postural assessment of thorax and lumbar muscles are compliance of 3 body references. Sagittal configuration initial reference point; cervical region (c), second lumbar region (L) this two-point distance (CL) plumb, seperated from the horizontal posterior line (D), horizontal intersection distance (DD) deviations constant 25-cm distance obtain one comparison (C4-L5). Moroever, according to Matthias, calculation formula: [DD x 25/CL] was calculated torso muscles must be evaluated the function [14]. This condition static all spine layer is muscle function [Figure.1]. Investigation initial plumb angle in the lateral posture method enclosed humerus current 90° shoulder elevation 30 sn position. Posture index before corrective isometric strength program should be trunk strength and lumbar stability clinic test were also implemented to muscle energy generation based on such as a dip-test, single-leg squat, 6 m distance gait, described for the unilateral posture [2,11]. Lumbar flexion therefore, for kinetic chain complex if is eligibility may be a participant in test condition for short-term isometric muscle volume corrective training [i.e., 8-weeks]. If isn't eligibility must be participant because have been a prophylactive or lumbar injury. According to Matthias when investigated in torso compartment angle, all spine are muscular layout. Firstly, physiologic, and strong have been results for lateral method compartment location. Results, physical resistance in men static physiologic 90 degree evaluated average -6.01±0.8° poor deviation, strong men +8.03±1.20° positive deviation has shown aponeurosis formation. But the physical limits showed lordotic. Instability degrees generally show the 4 degrees from the first degree (Matthias) that this is normally known from in the first degree. Angular to the positive deviation must be >9 degree positive that it is shown the instability and deformation from second degree (Table 3). We hypothesized that lumbar improved pelvic movement muscle activity was measured on posture as sensor-based conventional (DorsoVi, Melbourn, Australia) is a device that basically muscle activity L3 angular deviation of lumbar flexion within the static investigation [15]. This method proper measures all lumbar spine evaluation for complex movement seen in a coronal anatomical plane. Participants with an implanted marker position between superior sacroiliac joint and T12 plumb line the vimove movement sensor has first been obtained the flexion, right flexion, left lateral flexion and contralateral flexion to flexion movement references range on short-term 15-s posture alignment. All measurements used to record the flexion degrees of lumbopelvic movement, in standing [18]. Data was normally lower than 20 Hz between recordings, the manufacturer reports average differences <1 degree single in each participant was estimated after the flexion movement frequency [16]. Corrective isometric strength, this study conducted a planned corrective intensity for isometric postural muscle force in the laboratory after investigation of muscle activities in lumbar region flexion. Participants conducted 8-weeks of postural balance included in comprehensive complex isometric exercise to all lumbar movement and muscle energy. The corrective exercise comprises of 1. Phase [Initial], 2. Phase [Improvement] and 3. Phase [Maintain]. This investigation used a repeated design performed 3 load light, moderate, and high moderate as isometric strength or muscle volume improvement. Corrective integrity for muscle contraction modes then improvement phase 50-70% low moderate and 60-80% high moderate were used intensity frequencies. For the beginning, the initial phase must be 1RM 30% or 50% across with contraction modes and 10-12 repetitions. To complex muscle activity were used second phase intensity frequency 60-80RM% high moderate for maintaining postural structure movement. Improvement phase conducted on moderate-intensity 50-80RM% within isometric strength for the corrective program and 12 repetitions [34]. Then, maintain muscle activity as these are the frequency RM 60-80% but not high strength and 10 repetitions. All program 30-120-s duration included but only the corrective initial phase based on mechanomyography muscle changes this reason it is only initial phase is 15-s duration.

V. SIMULATION/EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In results corrective program difference are lumbar degrees.

TABLE 1. CORRECTIVE ISOMETRIC PROGRAM

Volume Isometric	Initial	Improvement	Maintain	Intensity	Duration
Corrective Knee bow	30RM	40%	50%	30RM	15-s

out					
Corrective Knee bow in	30RM	40%	50%	30RM	15-s
Corrective Anterior pelvic tilt	50RM	60%	70%	30RM	15-s
Corrective Arm fall	50RM	60%	70%	30RM	15-s
Unilateral Left Rowwing	50RM	60%	70%	≥10 kg	30-s
Unilateral Right Rowwing	50RM	60%	70%	≥10 kg	30-s
Unilateral Lat pulldown	60RM	70%	80%	1RM kg 35%	90-s
Unilateral Shoulder press	60RM	70%	80%	1RM kg 40%	90-s
Bilateral Back extension	50RM	60%	70%	1RM kg 50%	30-s
Bilateral Superman plank	60RM	70%	80%	1RM kg 50%	30-s
Bilateral Linear crunch	60RM	70%	80%	1RM kg 50%	30-s

Note: Muscle activation and energy formation of the complex movements in isometric strength.

Statistic This study for descriptive data were tested as mean CI%95 [upper-and-lower] values, standard error alpha level p<0.05 on the distribution of each variable standardized. Mean posture index scores for the repetitions corrective isometric training (8-weeks) differences to investigate the overall phase repeated measures as the standard deviations between measures were tested using Paired T-test. The effect size by Cohen' d was evaluation between pre-post effect high size; small 0.20, medium 0.50, and >0.80 high effect size. Muscle force activity for postural index we used a 3 (time) x 3 (load) repeated measures analysis of variance partial eta squared this study is tested normality effect size (p<0.05).

VI. CONCLUSION

The results repeated measurement used to different effect size between 3 x 3 load for muscle activity analysis. Therefore, postural index variances have shown partial eta squared. Here author will explain the future of his/her research.

Shoulder 90° alignment improved torso stability unclockwise and clockwise to evaluation. CLL test shown S: Static 90° initial angular, L: Lateral 30-s test the end that is angular degree. CLL S/L shows the angular degree initial up to from the end. Instability degrees generally show the high from the 4° degree (Matthias) that this is known from in the first degree. Flexion movement of the lumbar region isometric exercise changes examined on 4 regions. Movement load in corrective exercise within initial 4-weeks, improvement 6-weeks and maintain 8-weeks were evaluated the one repeated measurement design. Therefore, results showed corrective isometric strength 3 phase development.

TABLE 2. LUMBAR ANGLE MUSCLE ACTIVITY OF THE PARTICIPANTS

Characteristics	Mean±SD Pre	Mean±SD Post	ES (sd)	$CI_{95\%}$
Flexion (LF)	31.9±6.58	38.7±7.40	0,09	1.372
Extension (LE)	39.7±7.14	36.9±7.62	0,03	1.490
Rightlateral flexion (RLF)	26.7±5.79	35.5±4.15	0,17	1.206
Leftlateral flexion (LLF)	27.8±5.04	29.8±5.58	0,03	1.051

p<0.05

Paired samples T-test result in the mean differences, respectively, 95% Confidence Interval; [-1.157 to -0.241; d = -0.705], and [0.156 to 1. 047; d = 0,60], and [-1.799 to -0,698; d = -1.256] and [-0,737 to 0.101; d = -0,321].

TABLE 3. POSTURAL LUMBAR AND GLUTEUS MUSCULAR INDEX REFERENCES

CL line static initial 90° S/E angular degree and 30 sn lateral evaluation

Static 90°	1	2	3	4^1	5	1	2	3	4^1	5	Lateral 30 s
Clock.	0-2	2-3	3-4	4-6	>6	<8	8-9	10-11	12-13	>13	Clock.
Unclock.	0-1	1-2		>2							Unclock.
Pmuscle function	Stabile	Instabile		Instability		Stabile	Instabile		Instability		

TABLE 4. CORRECTIVE ISOMETRIC STRENGTH RESULTS OF THE LUMBAR POSTURE

Complex Movement	Mean Pre-Post	Postural values	F; P
Initial phase (4 w)	5.05	Instability	η^2G: 0.022
Improve phase (6 w)	4.43	Instability	η^2: 0.022
Maintain phase (8 w)	3.82	Stabile	η^2p: 0.047
Time x Group Interaction	$F_{(438, 19.9)}$	p= 0.001;	η^2p: 0.047

Repetead measure analysis revealed a significant load by muscle interaction moderate effect of interaction RM Factor 1; 8.64^2 $p < 0.001$.

Because of the results, it is reported that clear movement intructions and training of correct exercises technique should precede the commencement of any testing or training of lumbar to ensure that any notable changes in upper-lower compartment are more likely because of muscle/tendon adaptations and not the result of inconsistent task intructions or inadequately trained participants. Specifically, unilateral tranducer above 90° risk developed within thoracolumbar fascia musculare distance. Therefore, many of the postural muscle deformity associated with the low-back musculature may be included gluteus, hamstring, and rectus abdominis strengthening are complex. Postural energy formation of muscle function connected to pelvic asymmetry into kinetic complex chain. It is the aim; specific developments occur power energy for (e.g. front squat or swing and medicine overhead with arm of the shoulder >90 degrees). Our goal was to observe a change in flexion force in the lumbo region, indicating muscle strength, in short term periods. Lumbar angular changes would indicate different muscle formations and tendon structures equivalent to strength training. This repetitive variation stressed the importance of strength training from micro-cycle to macro-transitions. The LPHC initial has stated a great owing to an overactive gastrocnemious and soleus in different sport specific trainings. Currently, altering of the body rotation to maintain in balance looked to compare isolated lumbar extension (ILEX) strength stability produces the postural force (45). However, understanding that complex movement muscle groups in posterior fixation multiple angles should have been gluteus maximus overattractive and latissimus dorsi weakness along with the thoracolumbar fascia (45). Therefore, it is critical that, ultimately improved spine stability loads is moderate optimal (50-70% of 1RM) but all considerations want isometric loads should have been high load (70% intensity) for force as well as muscular stability. Although, high load (≥70% 1RM) is muscle strength (34). This is also decreated in physical muscle energy formation with isometric movement still. Morever, isometric strength training is characterised by the exertions of force without external movement. Low back spinal deviation has shown (A) initial 8.05 highly the sway back posture. Lumbar region when is increased, this is lumbar lordos both is energy deficiency. Plumb line sagittal configuration different clockwise deviation has shown that is most of the spinal flexitation is linear and optimal value. Muscle efficiency thus, in force generated in lumbar flexitation.

Muscle relaxation in neuromuscular control is no overactivity (S2-T2) and muscle tension is lateral-side. To analyzed torso limb degeneration with shown pennation angle in lumbar muscules is hyperextension. This external load isometric intensity for corrective exercise posture has not the muscle tone. Lumbo pelvic pain, there are flexion angle 18 degree, no pain 24, 26, 27, other studies 30 to 20 between normal, but <20 to >32 abnormal muscle posture in lumbopelvic complex. Abnormal spinal alignement. Normally, spine position was for lumbar lordosis 20-70 degrees should be references [3]. But reposition error comparison of the lumbar flexion angle with flexion-extension lumbar during using a lumbosacral lordosis. References are show 45-55 degrees. Low and high border of this values are accepted [8]. About over repositioning groups are heterogeneous. Several studies have suggested that subdivided types that flexion angle in isometric strength and myofascicular degeneration between showing lumbar flexion-extension degree. During task with lumbar flexion strength angle 55°, extension angle 47° showed lumbar pelvic pain [40]. Generally, occurs in individuals and powerlifters, recreationally are characterized by a reduction and isolated spine strength as well as muscle action and imbalance during trunk flexion. Therefore, the maintenance for muscle activation throughout the full trunk flexion musculature strengthening (e.g., corrective isometric strength training programs) [45].

The thoracolumbar junction, which ligament maximal force in thoracic spine and lumbar spine T11-L1 changes from facet joints to sagittal (42). Lumbar facet ligaments are fibrous. It has inserted to degrees of flexion/extension and maximum extension force so-called shear forces at mean values of 1.68 N and 3.01 N two direction in posterior compartment [43]. Therefore, all spinal to posterior compartment without high load and stresses causes increased shear force with proper propulsive isometric movement [44].

Generalized latissimus dorsi is muscular power generation between short-term 8-12 weeks. Long term such as 4- to 8-weeks highlighting are lumbar extension strengthing for active lumbopelvic control are lumbar extension of posterior chain in physical men [27]. Lumbar extension can cause high spinal compressive load lifting, which could generate between 40 and 70% contraction modes of

RM in standing [27]. But, for us in physical men, movement mechanics in vertical position showed more isometric effects on the energy generation of muscular activity. Short hypertrophy periodization was on the side for myotendinous lumbar multifidus diameter. However, qualitative between-group stability and instability comparison reported that greater multifidus to myofasicicular athrophy or fat infiltration with among based on facet joint hypertrophy. Therefore, spinal angle is possible occurrence that it is reason sacroiliac joint and facet joint deformity. Periods of muscle isometric contraction can result in progloned flexitation [28]. It has been suggested that flexion stress is a loss of isometric strength program. Ogata et al [44] reported that initial phase lumbar extension movement causes higher shear force but maximum angle between trunk extension and lifting tasks observed 36.4° without lifting low load, ranges 37.1-31.6° loaded high moderate stress during a flexed posture [44].

PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS

The postural musculature study is the main mechanical load or for stresses. Mechanic formations must be isometric force and compression shear force causes no increased lumbar region flexibility. Therefore, high load shows in lumbar thorocic vertebral stress, but corrective complex are neutrolisis lumbar angle and this must be muscle force generation without muscle thickness.

REFERENCES

[1] Tsang SMH, Szeto GPY, Li LMK, Wong. et al. The effects of bending speed on the lumbo-pelvic kinematics and movement pattern during forward bending in people with and without low back pain. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 18: 157-166, 2017.

[2] Bailey RW, Richards J, Selfe J. A Biomechanical Investigation of Selected Lumbopelvic Hip Tests: Implications for the Examination of Walking. *J Manipul Physiol Therap*. 39: 2-8, 2016.

[3] Celestre PC, Dimar JR, Glassman SD. Spinopelvic Parameters: Lumbar Lordosis, Pelvic Incidence, Pelvic Tilt, and Sacral Slope: What Does a Spine Surgeon Need to Know to Plan a Lumbar Deformity Correction? *Neurosurg Clin N Am.* 29: 323-329, 2018.

[4] Kibler WB, Press J, Sciascia A. The role of core stability in athletic function. *Sports Med.* 36: 189-98, 2006.

[5] DeJong AF, Mangum LC, Resch JE. et al. Detection of gluteal changes using ultrasound imaging during phases of Gait in individuals with medial Knee Displacement. *J Sport Rehabil*. 28: 494-504, 2019.

[6] Flores-Leon A, Redenz G, Valencia OD. et al. Coordination of the rotational movement of the pelvis and the hip in men without low back pain, with control impairgement of the lumbopelvic region in the sagittal plane. *Physiother Theory Pract.* 36: 810-817, 2020.

[7] Gallagher KM, Sehl M, Callaghan JP. A radiographic assessment of lumbar spine posture in four different upright standing positions. *Clin Biomech.* 37: 131-136, 2016.

[8] Kim MH, Yoo WG. Relationship between the thoracolumbar flexion angle and pelvic posterior movement during trunk flexion. *J Phys Ther Sci.* 30: 534-535, 2018.

[9] Lee D. The one-leg standing test and the active strainght leg raise test: a clinical interpretation of two tests of load transfer through the pelvic girdle. *Luettu.* 14: 2010-2016, 2005.

[10] Caffaro RR., Franca FJ., Burke. et al. Postural control in individuals with and without non-specific chronic low back pain: a preliminary case-control study. *Eur Spine J.* 23: 807-813, 2014.

[11] Perrott MA, Pizzari T, Opar MS. et al. Athletes with a clinical rating of good and poor lumbopelvic stability have different kinematic variables during single leg squat and dip test. *Physiother Theory Pract.* 22: 1-10, 2019.

[12] Ludwig O, Hammes A, Kelm J. et al. Assessment of the posture of adolescents in everyday clinical practice: intra-rater and interrater reliability and validity of a posture index. *J Bodyw Mov Ther.* 20: 761-766, 2016.

[13] Kendall FP. Muscles testing and function with posture and pain. 5th Philadelphia, America., *Lippincont Williams & Wilkins*, 2005.

[14] Mahlknecht J. Das KIL-Schema zur qualitativen und quantitativen Beurteilung der Körperhaltung im seitlichen Profil. *Z Orthop Ihre Grenzgeb*, 140: 615-620, 2002.

[15] Aranda-Valera IC, Garrido-Castro JL, Sanchez IM. et al. Inertial motion sensors using the vimoeve© system is valid method to assess spinal mobility in patients with axial spondyoarthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 77: 642-643, 2018.

[16] Laird RA, Keating JL, Ussing K. et al. Does movement matter in people with back pain? Investigating 'atypical' lumbo-pelvic kinematics in people with and without back pain using wireless movement sensors. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* 20: 28, 2019.

[17] Altamirano KM, Coburn JW, Brown LE. et al. Effects of warm-up on peak torque, rate of torque development, and electromyographic and mechanomyographic signals. *J Strength Cond Res.* 26: 1296-1301, 2012.

[18] Wartski M, Williams CM, Broughton NS. et al. Quantifying the lumbar spine movements of surgeons during surgical lists in a teaching hospital. *ANZ J Surg.* 89:153-158, 2019.

[19] Gilmer GG, Washington JK, Dugas JR. et al. The Role of Lumbopelvic-Hip Complex Stability in Softball Throwing Mechanics. *J Sport Rehabil*. 28: 196-204, 2019.

[20] Wasserberger KW, Downs JL, Barfield JW. et al. Lumbopelvic-Hip Complex and Scapular Stabilizing Muscle Activations During Full-Body Exercises With and Without Resistance Bands. *J Strength Cond Res.* 34: 2840-2848, 2020.

[21] Oliver GD, Washington JK, Barfield GD. et al. Quantitative Analysis of Proximal and Distal Kinetic Chain Musculature

During Dynamic Exercises. *J Strength Cond Res.* 32:1545-1553, 2018.

[22] Oleksy L, Bylina D, Mika A. et al. The Relationship Between Lumbo-Pelvic-Hip Complex and Knee Joint. *J Nov Physiother*. 8: 1, 2018.

[23] Shelburne KB, Torry MR, Pandy MG. Muscle, ligament, and joint-contact forces at the knee during walking. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 37:1948-1956, 2005.

[24] Bordelon NM, Wasserberger KW, Cassidy MM. Et al. The Effects of Load Magnitude and Carry Position on Lumbopelvic-Hip Complex and Scapular Stabilizer Muscle Activation During Unilateral Dumbbell Carries. *J Strength Cond Res*. 35: S114-S119, 2021.

[25] Lee BC, McGill SM. Effect of long-term isometric training on core/torso stiffness. *J Strength Cond Res.* 29: 1515–1526, 2015.

[26] Schoenfeld B, Contreras B, Willardson JM, et al. Muscle activation during low- versus high-load resistance training in well-trained men. *Eur J Appl Physiol*. 114: 2491–2497, 2014.

[27] Van Oosterwijck J, De Ridder E, Vleeming A, Vanderstraeten G, Schouppe S, Danneels L. Applying an active lumbopelvic control strategy during lumbar extension exercises: Effect on muscle recruitment patterns of the lumbopelvic region. *Hum Mov Sci.* 54: 24-33, 2017.

[28] Zawadka M, Skublewska-Paszkowska M, Gawda P. Et al. What factors can affect lumbopelvic flexion-extension motion in the sagittal plane?: A literature review. Hum Mov Sci. 58: 205-218, 2018.

[29] Cohen J. Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences. 2nd ed. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 1988.

[30] Wilson, GJ, Murphy, AJ, Walshe, A. The specificity of strength training: the effect of posture. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol.* 73: 346-52, 1996.

[31] Czaprowski D, Stoliński Ł, Tyrakowski M, Kozinoga M, Kotwicki T. Non-structural misalignments of body posture in the sagittal plane. *Scoliosis Spinal Disord*.13: 6, 2018.

[32] Kelly M, Jacobs D, Wooten ME. Et al. Comparison of electromyographic activities of lumbar iliocostalis and lumbar multifidus muscles during stabilization exercises in prone, quadruped, and sitting positions. *J Phys Ther Sci.* 28: 2950-2954, 2016.

[33] Dafkou K, Kellis E, Ellinoudis A et al. Lumbar multifidus muscle thickness during graded quadruped and prone exercises. *Int J Exer Sci.* 14: 101-112, 2021.

[34] Maden-Wilkinson TM, Balshaw TG, Massey GJ, Folland JP. What makes long-term resistance-trained individuals so strong? A comparison of skeletal muscle morphology, architecture, and joint mechanics. *J Appl Physiol (1985).* 128:1000-1011, 2020.

[35] Mann SJ, Lam JC, Singh P. McKenzie Back Exercises. 2020; In: StatPearls Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2021.

[36] Arshadi R, Ghasemi GA, Samadi H. Effects of an 8-week selective corrective exercises program on electromyography activity of scapular and neck muscles in persons with upper crossed syndrome: Randomized controlled trial. *Phys Ther Sport.* 37: 113-119, 2019.

[37] Bayattork M, Seidi F, Minoonejad H. et al. The effectiveness of a comprehensive corrective exercises program and subsequent detraining on alignment, muscle activation, and movement pattern in men with upper crossed syndrome: protocol for a parallel-group randomized controlled trial. *Trials.* 21: 255, 2020.

[38] Lamplot JD, Wang D, Weiss LJ. et al. Lower Extremity Compartment Syndrome in National Football League Athletes. *Sports Health*. 13: 198-202, 2021.

[39] Hrysomallis C. Effectiveness of strengthening and stretching exercises for the postural correction of abducted scapulae: a review. *J Strength Cond Res.* 24: 567-74, 2010.

[40] Kim MH, Yoo WG. Comparison of the lumbar flexion angle and repositioning error during lumbar flexion-extension in young computer workers in Korea with differing back pain. *Work.* 51: 223-228, 2015.

[41] Zwambag DP, De Carvalho DE, Brown SH. Decreasing the required lumbar extensor moment induces earlier onset of flexion relaxation. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol.* 30: 38-45, 2016.

[42] Kim MH, Yoo WG. Relationship between the thoracolumbar flexion angle and pelvic posterior movement during trunk flexion. *J Phys Ther Sci.* 30: 534-535, 2018.

[43] Claeson AA, Barocas VH. Planar biaxial extension of the lumbar facet capsular ligament reveals significant in-plane shear forces. *J Mech Behav Biomed Mater*. 65: 127-136, 2017.

[44] Ogata Y, Anan M, Takahashi M et al. Relationships Between Trunk Movement Patterns During Lifting Tasks Compared With Unloaded Extension From a Flexed Posture. *J Manipulative Physiol Ther*. 41: 189-198, 2018.

[45] Androulakis Korakakis P, Gentil P, Fisher JP and Steele J. Comparison of isolated lumbar extension strength in competitive and noncompetitive powerlifters, and recreationally trained men. *J Strength Cond Res*. 35: 652-658, 2021.

[46] The jamovi project (2020). *jamovi*. (Version 1.2) [Computer Software]. Retrieved from https://www.jamovi.org.